

4. Workflows

- [4.1. Workflows of Research Outputs](#)
- [4.2. Workflows of Projects - New Role: Validator for Projects](#)

4.1. Workflows of Research Outputs

PURE editors have the **same authority in the workflow of research outputs processes as researchers.**

Please **never set** the workflow status of research outputs to **“Validated”** as a PURE Editor. At PMU, RM is responsible for validating research outputs. For details, refer to **R-30 - Evaluation of Scientific Publications.**


4.2. Workflows of Projects – New Role: Validator for Projects

NEW: The role **'Validator for projects'** has been activated in the profiles of PURE editors.

RM does not validate projects; therefore, it is important that you keep an eye on the projects associated with your organisational unit(s) (you can find them in the category *Editable* under projects).

This role allows you to set the **workflow** status of projects to **'Validated'**. **Projects labelled as 'Public' ?** (please note: visibility must be **actively** set to 'Public') **AND validated ?** will appear immediately in the [PURE portal](#) and on the [PMU website](#) the following day.

The visibility and workflow status can be found at the bottom of the project dialogue window in PURE:



Ein Bild, das Text, Screenshot, Software enthält. KI-generierte Inhalte können fehlerhaft sein.

This role **also** authorises you to **update or correct projects that have already been validated** (e.g. adjust the duration, add participants, etc.).

After opening the record, click on the **pencil** icon to update or correct the project data:



Budget information is generally **never displayed** in the [PURE Portal](#) or on the [PMU website](#), only information on the project participants, the duration, the related internal and external organizational unit(s), labels (so-called fingerprints) generated based on the underlying awards/grants, and a link to the project website.

You can only set projects to 'Validated' or subsequently edit already validated projects **for which your organisational unit is** noted as the **managing organisational unit**.

Short Checklist, which you must check and correct if necessary before setting the project to 'Validated':

ü Project type

ü Title

ü Participants

Desired order of related project participants:

- PI

- Co-PI

- Other contributors

→ For several people in the same role: sort alphabetically

ü Managing organisational unit: Order: PI > Co-PI > other contributors

If you wish to correct the managing organisational unit but are unable to select the correct one, please write a comment on the record or contact RM at pure@pmu.ac.at.

ü Collaborative partners

- Select 'Yes' if the project is a cooperation between an organisational unit (OU) of the PMU/SALK/Nuremberg Hospital and one or more external organisations.

- Select 'No' if only internal OUs of the PMU/SALK/Nuremberg Hospital are involved (no external organisations).

ü Life cycle (start and end date)

ü Visibility: Visibility is set to 'Confidential' by default. Set this to 'Public' if the project is to be displayed in the PURE portal and on the PMU website.

If available:

ü Delete budget information if it is specified in the fields of the 'Identification' category.

The budget information in the **associated 'Application/Award'** record **must remain!** (Those categories will not be displayed in the portal).

Note: This does not affect the linked funding applications or awards - these remain unaffected.

ü Remove uploaded documents.

Optional data: In addition to the abovementioned information, **please feel free to fill in the optional fields as well**, such as the project description, keywords, or links to related research

outputs.

Examples for correct and incorrect project records

CORRECT example 1:

ü title is filled in

ü short title* is filled in

ü description* is filled in

ü none of the fields under “identifications” contain budget information

The image shows a web form titled "Identification" with a help icon. The form contains several input fields, each with a red plus icon on the left. A green rectangular box highlights the following fields:

- Title ***: A text input field containing "Test research project".
- Short title**: A text input field containing "TRS".
- Acronym**: An empty text input field.
- Description**: A text area containing the text "This is a short description of the goal of the project. Please feel free the fill in this feld with relevant information." (Note the typo "feld" in the original image).

Below the highlighted fields are:

- Layman's description**: An empty text area.
- Key findings**: An empty text area.
- IDs**: A section with an "Add ID..." button.

*: optional field

INCORRECT example 1:

! description contains budget information → please remove immediately:

TRS

Description

~~This project is funded with €100,000.~~

Layman's description

Key findings

IDs

Add ID...

CORRECT example 2:

ü the order of the participants follows the desired order, and persons with the same role are sorted alphabetically:

Participants ⓘ

Related project participants *

Maria Klein, PI Internal person Edit -

Research Management at Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg
 Organisational unit: Research Management Salzburg -

Christian Resch, CoPI Internal person Edit + -

Research Management at Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg
 Organisational unit: Research Management Salzburg -

Konstantin Thiel, CoPI Internal person Edit + -

Research Management at Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg
 Organisational unit: Research Management Salzburg -

Patricia Ebner, Further contributor Internal person Edit -

Research Management at Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg
 Organisational unit: Research Management Salzburg -

Add person... Add organisational unit...

INCORRECT example 2:

! the roles of the participants do not follow the desired order, and individuals with the same role are not sorted alphabetically → please correct the order by clicking on the arrows:

Participants ⓘ

Related project participants * + 👤

Konstantin Thiel, CoPI Internal person	Edit	+	-
Research Management at Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg Organisational unit: Research Management Salzburg			-
Christian Resch, CoPI Internal person	Edit	↓	↑
Research Management at Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg Organisational unit: Research Management Salzburg			-
Maria Klein, PI Internal person	Edit	↓	↑
Research Management at Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg Organisational unit: Research Management Salzburg			-
Patricia Ebner, Further contributor Internal person	Edit	↑	-
Research Management at Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg Organisational unit: Research Management Salzburg			-

Add person... Add organisational unit...

CORRECT example 3:

ü both the start and end date fields are filled in:

Life cycle ⓘ

Start date	End date
01/03/2025	06/06/2025
Example: 21/10/2002	Example: +12 is 12 months later

Curtailed

INCORRECT example 3:

! none of the lifecycle fields are filled in – please make sure to enter at least the start date. If the end date is not yet known, please provide an estimated end date:

Life cycle ⓘ

Start date Example: 21/10/2002

End date Example: +12 is 12 months later

Curtailed

You can update the period at any time by opening the record and clicking the pencil icon.

CORRECT example 4:

ü a link* has been added, but no document has been uploaded:

Files and links ⓘ

Documents

Links

<https://www.pmu.ac.at/>

Show Edit -

*: optional field

INCORRECT example 4:

! a project document (PDF, Word, etc.) has been uploaded → please remove it immediately by clicking on the minus:

Files and links ⓘ

Documents

project_description

project_description.pdf, 1.51 MB

Public - No restriction


Links

Show Edit -


CORRECT example 5:

ü there is an associated application and award linked to the record:



Related applications and awards ⓘ

 **test titel** AWARDED

Klein, M. (Project Applicant)
FWF - der Wissenschaftsfonds Österreich: €0.00
Application: Research Councils › Additional Funding

 **test titel**

Klein, M. (Project Applicant)
FWF - der Wissenschaftsfonds Österreich: €10.00
Award date: 22/03/24
Award: Research Councils › Award

The budget information in the **associated 'Application/Award'** record **must remain!** (Those categories will not be displayed in the portal).

INCORRECT example 5:

! no associated application and/or award linked to the record:

In this case, you either removed the related application and/or award, or you did not relate the project to an application and/or an award. Both are incorrect, as each project must be linked to the appropriate budget-related categories. Non-competitive projects must be linked to an award, while competitive projects must be linked to both an application and an award. For more details, please refer to [Chapter 3.1.1 Addition to Applications, Awards and Projects](#).

Related applications and awards ⓘ

